

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,006	78,006 01/05/2000		ARNAUD GOURDOL	P2413-515	1054
21839	7590	06/29/2006		EXAMINER	
	AN INGERSOI		DURAN, RONNY A		
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2174	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/478,006	GOURDOL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronny A. Duran	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the provision of the pro	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	 Note: It is a second of the se				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u>pril 2006</u> .					
,—	·					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,8,13,27-29,56 and 78-93 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) 78-93 is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3, 8, 13, 27-29 and 56 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the find drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2174

- 1. Claims 3, 8, 13, 27-29, and 56 are pending.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-12, 14-26, 30-55, and 57-77 have been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 78-93 have been withdrawn.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 78-93 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Inventions I, "varying the size of a plurality of icon images displayed in a display device based upon a user preference value" (claims 3, 8, 13, 27-29, and 56), and II, "displaying a plurality of icons that respectively represent file system objects that can contain one or more items" (claims 78-93), are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as "designating a user preference value for each of the selected icons". In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as "a plurality of icons that respectively represent file system objects that can contain one or more

Art Unit: 2174

ز

items", and "assigning relative display sizes... based upon the number of times contained" (claim 78) or "upon the amount of memory required to store said objects" (claim 80). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 78-93 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 3, 8, 13, 27-29, and 56 are allowed.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 3, 8, 13, 27-29, and 56 teach the specific equation of (max-min)/(N-1) wherein N is the number of applications given a preference, min is the minimum icon size, and max is the maximum icon size. Nowlan discloses a minimum and maximum size for icons (fig. 8) but fails to provide a preference for the number equation as taught by the Applicant. McComb (U.S. Patent 6,111,573) teaches dynamic sizing according to content (col. 7, lines 10-20) but fails to provided a specific sizing formula as taught by the Applicant. Morgan teaches dynamically adding icons (col. 2, lines 35-42) and

Art Unit: 2174

container control (col. 1, lines 45-50) but fails to teach icon sizing as taught by the

Applicant. Grossman teaches icons disappearing and reappearing based on usage (fig.

Page 4

8 and 10). Grossman can be interpreted as a type of growing and shrinking. Grossman

fails to teach use of a maximum and minimum formula based on number of applications

as taught by the Applicant.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see pgs. 12-14, with respect to claims 78-93, are directed

to non-elected claims, and are therefore moot.

J

Art Unit: 2174

,)'

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronny A. Duran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4061. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronny Duran Patent Examiner AU 2174

SUPERVISCAY ALENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Bustine Vincaid